


## What's Rural.................and What's a Rural Veterinarian?

\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}Rural: \& in, relating to, or characteristic of the countryside rather than the town <br>

Sub million (14\% of Americans) live in rural communities\end{array}\right]\)| of, or characteristic of a suburb (an outlying district of a city, especially a |
| :--- |
| residential one) |
| U9 million (18 \% of Americans) live in suburban communities |

## 2023 AAvsB

## Can we make some assumptions?

As of 2022, 44.5\% of U.S. households own dogs, and 29\% of households own cats. (Pew Research Center)

Is there any correlation between the number and types of companion animals per American, regardless of life in rural, suburban, or urban communities?

If so, can we assume that approximately $14 \%$ of companion animals live in rural communities?

## What kind of animals does a Rural Veterinarian need to be proficient in?

| Beef Cattle | 29 million |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dairy Cattle | 9.4 million |
| Swine | 72.5 million |
| Small Ruminants | 7.8 million |
| Poultry |  |
| $\quad$ Layers, Broilers, multiple species |  |
| Farm Raised Aquaculture |  |
| $\quad$ Finfish, Shellfish, Turtles, Frogs, other |  |
| Horses |  |
| Companion Animals |  |

## Do we need as many Food Animal Veterinarians as we used to? (2006 Data)



FIGURE 4-4 Number and average size of dairy farms in the United States, in thousands, 1970-2006. SOURCE: MacDonald et al., 2007.

## Food Animal Industry Practices Vary with Geographical Location (2013 data)

TABLE 4-4 California and Wisconsin: Comparison of Operations, Operations' Size, and Members in the American Association of Bovine Practitioners

| State | Number <br> of AABP <br> Members | Number of <br> Dairy Cows | Number of <br> Operations | Number <br> of Cows per <br> Operation | Number <br> of Cows per <br> Veterinarian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wisconsin | 234 | $1,247,000$ | 14,440 | 87 | 5,329 |
| California | 112 | $1,796,000$ | 2,115 | 849 | 16,036 |

NOTE: AABP = American Association of Bovine Practitioners.
DATA SOURCE: American Association of Bovine Practitioners membership and USDA
National Agricultural Statistics Service.

## Accredited Veterinarian Numbers Nationwide

## Practice_Type*

Companion Animal Exclusive
Companion Animal Predominant
Mixed Animal
Equine Predominant
Food Animal Predominant
Equine Exclusive
Food Animal Exclusive

31,235
20,688
14,278
3,775
3,761
2,481
1,281
*Veterinarians may choose 2 Practice Types, most don't

## Food Animal Veterinarian Numbers

| Practice_Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971-1980 | 1981-1990 | 1991-2000 | 2001-2010 | 2011-2020 |
| Food Animal Exclusive | 161 | 248 | 224 | 286 | 433 |
| Food Animal Predominant | 466 | 602 | 576 | 553 | 1,089 |
| Mixed Animal | 1,207 | 2,037 | 2,125 | 2,566 | 4,495 |
| Total | 1,834 | 2,887 | 2,925 | 3,405 | 6,017 |

## Food Animal Veterinarian Numbers

| Practice_Type | Graduat |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2020}$ | 2021 | 2022 | 2023* |
| Food Animal Exclusive | 40 | 36 | 39 | 32* |
| Food Animal Predominant | 106 | 127 | 133 | 77* |
| Mixed Animal | 537 | 570 | 585 | 370* |

## Food Animal Veterinarian Numbers

Graduation Dates

| Species Code | $1971-1980$ | $1981-1990$ | $1991-2000$ | $2001-2010$ | $2011-2020$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bovine | 1,736 | 2,711 | 2,622 | 2,977 | 4,789 |
| Poultry | 141 | 334 | 374 | 372 | 530 |
| Ovine/Caprine | 597 | 1,198 | 1,257 | 1,531 | 2,458 |
| Porcine | 431 | 749 | 737 | 790 | 1,363 |
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## Where are our 1,281 Food Animal Exclusive Veterinarians?

TX?
OK?
CA?
FL?
NE?
MT?
WI?
MI?


## Where are our 1,281 Food Animal Exclusive Veterinarians?

\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|r|}\hline WI \& 165 <br>
\hline CA \& 104 <br>
\hline MN \& 100 <br>
\hline IA \& 84 <br>
\hline IN \& 56 <br>
\hline NY \& 51 <br>
\hline PA \& 51 <br>
\hline KS \& 48 <br>
\hline MI \& 48 <br>
\hline IL \& 44 <br>
\hline MO \& 42 <br>
\hline Food Animal <br>
Exclusive <br>
Veterinarians <br>
are <br>
in 10 <br>

States\end{array}\right]\)|  |
| :---: |
| ID |
| OH |
| NE |
| VA |
| CO |
| FL |
| TX |


| WA | 29 |
| :--- | ---: |
| GA | 28 |
| AL | 26 |
| OK | 22 |
| TN | 21 |
| MD | 18 |
| AR | 17 |
| OR | 16 |
| MT | 15 |
| NM | 14 |
| SC | 14 |
| SD | 14 |
| $M S$ | 13 |
| VT | 13 |
| AZ | 12 |
| KY | 11 |
| WV | 7 |
| ND | 6 |
| NJ | 6 |
| $M E$ | 5 |


| WY | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA | 4 |
| $P R$ | 4 |
| $N H$ | 3 |
| $N V$ | 3 |
| RI | 2 |
| $C T$ | 1 |
| $D C$ | 1 |
| $D E$ | 1 |
| $M A$ | 1 |
| $U T$ | 1 |



## Factors causing rural veterinarians to leave for larger communities

## Finances: Income and Student Loan Debt

- Per the Economic Research Service, the average per capita income for all Americans in 2020 was $\$ 59,510$, with the rural per capita income at $\$ 45,917$.
- Per the AVMA, In 2022, the average student debt for all graduates was $\$ 147,258$, down more than $6 \%$ from 2020. Excluding graduates who got through their schooling without taking out any educational loans, the average debt balance for those who did have loans was \$179,505, down 5\% from 2020.
- Non-Compete Agreements
- Cost to finance purchase of

Veterinary Practice Loan Rates

Loan Type
Rate
Term

## Factors causing rural veterinarians to leave for larger communities

## Fatigue, Frustration, Professional Growth

- 24/7 emergency coverage for solo practitioners absent emergency care options
- Responding to after-hours emergencies without technicians(s)
- In solo practices, limited access to mentoring, coaching
- Employer using the "figure it out" method of mentoring
- OTJ learning can have huge consequences


## Factors causing rural veterinarians to leave for larger communities

## Practice Management

- Managing accounts receivable/profitability in economically stressed communities
- Seeking fair compensation for windshield time in ambulatory practices
- Absence of colleagues for collaboration in solo practices
- Wearing "too many hats" to concentrate on medicine


## Factors causing rural veterinarians to leave rural communities

## Compensation

- Salary, perks
- Seasonality of income
- Retirement planning
- Difficult "exit strategy"
- Lure of the 40-50 hour work week and steady paycheck elsewhere


## Factors causing rural veterinarians to leave rural communities

- Lifestyle
- Time for family and family events
- Challenges getting coverage to "get away"
- Need proficiency in multiple species
- Hours dedicated to non-veterinary business responsibilities
- Lure of the 40-50 hour work week and steady paycheck elsewhere


## Factors causing rural veterinarians to stay in rural communities

## Lifestyle

- Access to activities "in the country"
- Personal Perceptions
- Schools, safety, crowdedness, air and sunshine
- Ag activities for kids
- Sense of belonging and being needed in a smaller community
- Fulfilling duty to hometown


## Factors causing rural veterinarians to stay in rural communities

## Practice Style, Privacy, and Self-Fulfillment

- Autonomy of medical decisions
- "do this here" instead of referring 100 miles away
- Appreciation from the community
- Value to agricultural businesses
- Love for food animal medicine
- Love of working outdoors


## What other Opportunities are Luring Rural Veterinarians Away?

Human Health<br>Pharmaceutical and<br>Biotechnology Companies<br>Animal Health Companies<br>Animal Feed Companies<br>Animal Supply Companies<br>Diagnostic Laboratories<br>Contract Research/Testing<br>Laboratories<br>Agrochemical Companies<br>Academia<br>Government<br>Non-Rural Practice<br>.....and More

## What are some potential solutions?

## State-Funded Cost of Education: Agreements to Rural Practice

- State determines where underserved areas are, and pays for the education of students who sign agreements to work in those communities
- Failure to uphold contract results in graduate owing the state for the cost of their education
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## What are some potential solutions?

## State-Funded Practice "Mentorships" with Established Practices

- Defined procedures graduates must be "work-alone competent" in
- Business acumen in fee structure, debt management, hiring and firing, ancillary costs, procurement, negotiating, work-life balance
- Assistance to purchase existing rural practice or establish a rural practice
- Compensation for Mentoring Veterinarians
- Dissolution and Payment for Non-Compete Agreements
- Assurances that Mentees to not compete with Mentors geographically


## What are some potential solutions?

## Places set-aside in the admissions process

 for future Rural Veterinarians

## What are some potential solutions?

## Recruitment of Foreign Graduates

## Participants in PAVE or ECFVG, streamlined into rural veterinary employment

ANNUAL MEETING
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## What are some potential solutions?

## Creation of Veterinary Schools specifically for Rural Veterinarians with Defined Day-One Competencies as described with State-Funded Practice "Mentorships"

- Annexed to existing schools
- Stand-alone accredited schools
- Stand-alone non-accredited schools, followed by
- PAVE or ECFVG


## What are some potential solutions?

## More about non-accredited curriculums:

- No on-site clinic or hospital
- All hands-on experience in participating practice settings
- No research or extension components to school
- No salaried professors-all instruction "piped in"
- Focus on Food Animal, Equine, Companion Animal in that order
- Focus on Practice Management and Personal Finance
- Focus on independent decision-making and interpersonal comm.
- Focus on passing the NAVLE and State Board
- Eligible for State assistance and resultant "debt" to State


## What are some potential solutions?

## Non-Veterinarians performing veterinary procedures traditionally done only by veterinarians

- Varies by Jurisdictions' laws and regulations
- Expansion of allowable Veterinary Technician procedures
- Currently about 120,000 Veterinarians in the U.S.
- Currently about 120,000 Licensed, Registered, and or Certified Veterinary Technicians in the U.S.
- Creation of degree programs similar to human medicine's Physician's Assistant or Nurse Practitioner


## What are some potential solutions?

## Creation of Food Animal(+) Licensure Tracks

- Ease burden of seasoned food animal veterinarians acquiring additional veterinary licenses
- Forgotten unused competencies in companion animal medicine/surgery
- Superior and needed competencies in Food Animal medicine/Surgery


## What are some potential solutions?

## STATE OF UTAH

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL \& PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
LIMITED ACTIVE LICENSE


REFÉRENCE NUMBER(S), CLASSIFICATION(S) \& DETAIL(S)
$\longrightarrow$ Pharmacy - Class E Business
Limited Controlled Substance-Business
Limited to Animal Control - Xylazine, Ketamine, FATAL-PLUS


```
2023 AavsB
annual meeting
& conferenge
    KANSAS CIT
    00.28-00.30
```


## What are some potential solutions?

## Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program

USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## VMLRP Overview



Assists in repayment of up to $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ of DVM educational loan debt per year


Minimum \$15,000 of eligible DVM debt


750+ veterinarians awarded since 2010

For more information: https://www.nifa.usda.gov/program/veterinary-medicine-loan-repayment-program

## Program Timeline



## - Type I

-At least 80\% FTE private practice

- 32 hours a week

Veterinary Shortage Situation Types
-Rural or urban

- Type II
-At least 30\% FTE private practice
- 12 hours a week
-Only rural areas
- Type III
-At least 49\% FTE public practice
- 19.6 hours a week
-City, county, State, Federal, or institutions of higher education


## Veterinary Shortage Situation Area Allocation

## Maximum Nomination

 Allocation Table for FY 2023-2025https://www.nifa.usda. gov/vmlrp-shortage-situation-nominationallocation

| Entity | Maximum <br> Nominations |
| :--- | :---: |
| Alabama | 5 |
| Alaska | 5 |
| American Samoa* | 1 |
| Arizona | 6 |
| Arkansas | 6 |
| California | 8 |
| Colorado | 8 |
| Connecticut | 2 |
| Delaware | 3 |
| District of Columbia* | 1 |
| Federal Lands | 4 |
| Florida | 4 |
| Georgia | 7 |
| Gaum* | 1 |
| Hawaii | 2 |
| Idaho | 7 |
| Illinois | 6 |
| Indiana | 5 |
| Iowa | 7 |
| Kansas | 7 |
| Special Consideration |  |
|  |  |


| Entity | Maximum <br> Nominations |
| :--- | :---: |
| Kentucky | 5 |
| Louisiana | 4 |
| Maine | 2 |
| Maryland | 3 |
| Massachusetts | 2 |
| Michigan | 6 |
| Micronesia* | 1 |
| Minnesota | 7 |
| Mississippi | 5 |
| Missouri | 6 |
| Montana | 7 |
| Nebraska | 5 |
| Nevada | 2 |
| New Hampshire | 2 |
| New Jersey | 6 |
| New Mexico | 5 |
| New York | 6 |
| North Carolina | 1 |
| North Dakota |  |
| Northern Mariana* | 7 |
|  |  |


| Entity | Maximum <br> Nominations |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ohio | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 7 |
| Oregon | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 |
| Puerto Rico | 1 |
| Republic of Marshall Islands* | 1 |
| Republic of Palau* | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 3 |
| South Carolina | 6 |
| South Dakota | 4 |
| Tennessee | 8 |
| Texas | 1 |
| U.S. Virgin Islands* | 6 |
| Utah | 2 |
| Vermont | 5 |
| Virginia | 6 |
| Washington | 2 |
| West Virginia | 7 |
| Wisconsin |  |
| Wyoming | 6 |
|  |  |

[^0]
## Veterinary Shortage Situation Areas

- State Animal Health Officials
- Review Panel
- 237 for FY2023
- VMLRP \& VSGP


USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture

## 2010-2022 VMLRP Applications \& Awards

| Fiscal Year | Number of Applications ${ }^{1}$ (Renewals) | Number of Awards | Success rate | Total Funding Awarded ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2010 | 257 | 52 | 20\% | \$5,185,978 |
| FY 2011 | 159 | 75 | 47\% | \$7,250,970 |
| FY 2012 | 140 | 45 | 32\% | \$4,448,652 |
| FY 2013 | 140 (22) | 43 | 30\% | \$3,838,128 |
| FY 2014 | 160 (27) | 49 | 30\% | \$4,360,121 |
| FY 2015 | 137 (7) | 48 | 35\% | \$4,504,340 |
| FY 2016 | 187 (25) | 46 | 25\% | \$4,264,574 |
| FY 2017 | 166 (20) | 56 | 34\% | \$5,427,905 |
| FY 2018 | 147 (13) | 74 | 50\% | \$6,792,005 |
| FY 2019 | 139 (8) | 64 | 46\% | \$6,132,735 |
| FY 2020 | 150 (18) | 76 | 51\% | \$7,152,453 |
| FY 2021 | 144(11) | 78 | 54\% | \$7,558,227 |
| FY 2022 | 130 (16) | 89 | 69\% | \$8,296,394 |
| TOTAL | 2056 (167) | 795 | 39\% | \$75,212,482 |

[^1]
## VMLRP New Awardee Loan Debt



\$60,000
$\$ 40,000$
$\$ 20,000$


## Feedback Survey Results - Cohort 2021

Table 1: Reasons reported for why needs in shortage areas are unmet for food animal veterinarians.

| Reason | \# of Responses |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distance | 8 |
| Age of current veterinarian |  |
| Clinics not servicing large | 4 |
| animals |  |
| Increase caseload | 4 |
| Fatigue/injury | 3 |
| Unable to attract/retain | 2 |
| veterinarian(s) |  |
| Other | 2 |

## Feedback Survey Results - Cohort 2021

Figure 1: Reasons for an anticipated increase in demand of food animal veterinarians in the next 3-5 years.


## Closeout Report Results - Cohort 2019

Figure 2. Impacts the veterinarians recorded having on their shortage situation.


Figure 3: Veterinarians in Type 1, Type II, and Type III shortage situation plans to continue serving the shortage area upon completion of the VMLRP service award period.


Table 2: Duration that veterinarians plan to continue serving after completion of service award period.

| Expected Duration that Veterinarians | $\#$ of Responses |
| :--- | ---: |
| Plan to Continue Serving the Shortage |  |
| Area Upon Completion of the Service |  |
| Award Period. | 4 |
| $3-5$ years | 4 |
| Unknown | 46 |
| Indefinitely | 25 |
| Retirement |  |

## Post Award Results - Cohort's 2014, 2016, 2018

Figure 4: Veterinarians no longer providing services at the same percentage of time, location, or species at 1-year, 3-years, and 5-years post award.
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Table 3: Reasons VMLRP awardees are no longer providing services.

| Reasons Veterinarians are no Longer Providing Services at the Same Percentage of Time, Location, or Species Served | 1year | 3years | 5-years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Took a new job | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Change in interest and/or focus | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Family reasons | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Bought/started own practice | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Change in demand | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Shifted to neighboring counties outside shortage area | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Injury | 0 | 0 | 0 $\quad 1$ |

## Questions and Discussion

\& conference
KANSAS CTV

# Managers do things right; Leaders do the right things 


[^0]:    *Special Consideration entities

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Total applications inclusive of renewal applications.
    ${ }^{2}$ Funds provided to any individual is based on the amount of eligible educational debt. Maximum award size is $\$ 104,250$ ( $\$ 75,000$ in loan repayment and \$29,250 to offset taxes).

